#### References

- Beachley, O. T. & Coates, G. E. (1965). J. Chem. Soc. pp. 3324-3326.
- Bradford, A. M., Bradley, D. C., Hursthouse, M. G. & Motevalli, M. (1992). Organometallics, 11, 113-115.
- Bradley, D. Č., Dawes, H. M., Frigo, D. M., Hursthouse, M. G. & Smith, L. M. (1990). Polyhedron, 9, 343–346.
- Enraf-Nonius (1989). CAD-4 Software. Version 5.0. Enraf-Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Gilmore, C. J. (1983). MITHRIL. Computer Program for the Automatic Solution of Crystal Structure from X-ray Data. Department of Chemistry, University of Glasgow, Scotland.
- Johnson, C. K. (1976). ORTEPII. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- Molecular Structure Corporation (1987). TEXSAN. TEXRAY Structure Analysis Package. Revised. MSC, 3200A Research Forest Drive, The Woodlands, TX 77381, USA.
- Sun, H.-S., Wang, X.-M., Huang, X.-Y. & You, X.-Z. (1995). Polyhedron. In the press.

Acta Cryst. (1996). C52, 37-39

# *mer*-Bis[2,6-bis(1-phenyliminoethyl)pyridine-*N*,*N'*,*N''*]copper(II) Diperchlorate

Alexander J. Blake,\*† Aidan J. Lavery and Martin Schröder\*

Department of Chemistry, The University of Edinburgh, West Mains Road, Edinburgh EH9 3JJ, Scotland

(Received 5 May 1995; accepted 19 July 1995)

### Abstract

In  $[Cu(C_{21}H_{19}N_3)_2](ClO_4)_2$ , two tridentate N-donor ligands coordinate to a copper(II) centre to give a distorted octahedral environment. The two ligands are approximately orthogonal, with an angle of 89.7 (2)° between the two CuN<sub>3</sub> planes. One of the ligands lies further from the Cu atom, its Cu—N<sub>imino</sub> distances (mean 2.31 Å) being 0.21 Å longer than the corresponding distances for the second ligand, an effect which is due to Jahn–Teller distortion.

#### Comment

In the title compound, *mer*-bis[2,6-bis(1-phenyliminoethyl)pyridine-N, N', N'']copper(II) diperchlorate, (1), the copper(II) centre lies at the centre of a distorted octahedron of N-donor atoms (Fig. 1). This distortion arises in part from the dimensions, shape and rigidity of the 2,6-bis(1-phenyliminoethyl)pyridine ligand, which also imposes a *mer* configuration on the complex. The two  $N_3$ -donor sets are approximately orthogonal, with an angle of 89.7 (2)° between the plane of Cu, N7, N10 and N13 and that of Cu, N7', N10' and N13'.



The Cu—N distances in compound (1) fall into three groups: approximately 1.95 Å for the pyridyl N atoms, and 2.10 and 2.31 Å for the imino N atoms. A closer



Fig. 1. A view of the title molecule with the atom-numbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids enclose 30% electron-probability surfaces and H atoms are drawn as small spheres of arbitrary radii. The ligand whose donor set comprises atoms N7, N10 and N13 lies further from the metal centre as a result of a Jahn-Teller elongation of the Cu-N7 and Cu-N13 distances.

Acta Crystallographica Section C ISSN 0108-2701 ©1996

<sup>†</sup> Present address: Department of Chemistry, The University of Nottingham, University Park, Nottingham NG7 2RD, England.

comparison shows that the ligand containing atoms N7, N10 and N13 is the more loosely bound, as it pos sesses the longest Cu-N distances [1.975 (9), 2.299 (9 and 2.320(9) Å compared with 1.934(8), 2.100(9 and 2.103(8) Å for the other ligand]. It also exhibits a narrower trans N-Cu-N angle [149.4(3) versus  $157.0(4)^{\circ}$ ]. There is no indication from the molecular geometry around the imino N atoms that any have undergone reduction and we attribute the inequivalent Cu-Nimino distances to a Jahn-Teller elongation of the Cu-N7 and Cu-N13 distances.

In contrast to this effect observed at the  $d^9$  Cu<sup>II</sup> centre in compound (1), the two ligands around the  $d^8$ Ni<sup>II</sup> centre in bis{2,6-bis[1-(3-phenylpropylimino)ethyl]pyridine-N, N', N'' nickel(II) bis(tetrafluoroborate), (2) (Blake, Lavery, Hyde & Schröder, 1989), are equivalent. Although the nickel coordination sphere is also distorted octahedral, the Ni-Nimine separations [2.121 (7), 2.135 (7), 2.142 (7) and 2.160 (7) Å; mean 2.140 (14) Å] occupy a narrow range. The Ni-N<sub>pyridyl</sub> distances in compound (2) are 1.981 (7) and 1.989 (7) Å.

### **Experimental**

The title compound, mer-bis[2,6-bis(1-phenyliminoethyl)pyridine-N, N', N'']copper(II) diperchlorate, was obtained by reaction of copper(II) nitrate with 2,6-bis(1-phenyliminoethyl)pyridine in ethanol, followed by addition of 70% perchloric acid and recrystallization from ethanol.

Crystal	data
---------	------

$[Cu(C_{21}H_{10}N_{3})_{2}](ClO_{4})_{2}$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation	C22	0
M = 889.22	$\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$	N7′	0
$M_r = 000.22$	A = 0.71075  A	N10′	0
Monoclinic	Cell parameters from 8	N13'	-0
$P2_1/n$	reflections	C2'	0
a = 20.960 (13)  Å	$\theta = 3.5 - 7.5^{\circ}$	C3 <sup>e</sup>	0
b = 13.809(13) Å	$\mu = 0.709 \text{ mm}^{-1}$	C4	0
c = 14503(8) Å	T = 293(2) K	C5 C6'	0
c = 14.303(8)  A	I = 233 (2) R	C1'	0
$\beta = 94.131(14)$	$0.68 \times 0.12 \times 0.04$ mm	C8'	ő
$V = 418 / (5) A^3$	$0.68 \times 0.12 \times 0.04 \text{ mm}$	C23′	Ő
Z = 4	Bright green	C9′	0
$D_x = 1.411 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$		C11′	-0
		C12'	-0
Data collection		C24′	-0
Dala collection		C15′	-0
Stoe Stadi-2 two-circle	$R_{\rm int} = 0.0550$	C16'	-0
diffractometer	$\theta_{\rm max} = 24.98^{\circ}$	C17'	-0
$\omega$ scans	$h = -24 \rightarrow 24$	C18 <sup>-</sup>	0
Absorption correction:	$k = 0 \longrightarrow 14$	C19 C14'	-0
Absorption concention.	$k = 0 \implies 14$	C14 C20'	-0
	$l = 0 \rightarrow 17$	C20	0
5/14 measured reflections	Standard reflections:	C221	-0
5484 independent reflections	see Experimental	Cli	ŏ
1990 observed reflections	text below	011	0
$[I > 2\sigma(I)]$		012	0
[. , (.)]		013	0
		014	0
Refinement		014'	0
Definement on $F^2$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(E^2) + (0.084P)^2]$	Cl2	0
	$w = 1/[0 (\Gamma_0) + (0.064F)]$	021	0
K(F) = 0.0945	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$	022	0

, w	$R(F^2) = 0.2258$	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.037$
Ś	= 0.894	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.41 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
54	79 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.36 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
48	34 parameters	Atomic scattering factors
′н	atoms were included in	from International Tables
5	calculated positions	for Crystallography (1992,
5	•	Vol. C, Tables 4.2.6.8 and
-		6.1.1.4)

Table 1. Fractional	atomic coord	linates and	isotropic or
equivalent isotro	opic displacem	ent param	eters (Ų)

$$U_{\rm eq} = (1/3) \sum_i \sum_j U_{ij} a_i^* a_i^* \mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{a}_j.$$

	*		-	11 111
Cu	0.03524.(6)	0 21370 (11)	0 20404 (8)	$0_{eq}/0_{iso}$
N7	0.03324(0)	0.21570 (11)	0.20494 (8)	0.0573(3)
NIO	0.1182(4) 0.0526(4)	0.3111(7) 0.2022(7)	0.1004 (0)	0.057(3)
N13	-0.0320(4)	().2722(7)	() 3174 (6)	0.055(2)
C1	-0.0242(4)	0.3470 (6)	0.0053(7)	0.002 (3)
C2	() 1532 (5)	0.3316 (8)	-0.0773(5)	0.099(3)
C1	0.1332(3)	0.3510 (8)	-0.0773(3)	0.120 (0)
C4 C5	() 2336 (3)	0.2740 (8)	-0.0787(0)	0.121(0)
C5 C6	0.2050(3)	0.2318 (0)	0.0851 (6)	0.093(4)
	(1.2034)(4)	0.2471 (0)	0.0865 (5)	0.007(4)
	0.1311(4) 0.1350(5)	0.3048 (0)	0.0803(3)	0.057(3)
C12	0.1339 (3)	0.3008(9)	0.2337(9)	0.000 (3)
C2.5	0.1945(0)	() 2625 (8)	0.2404 (8)	0.077(4)
	0.0978 (5)	0.2883 (0)	0.3189(7)	0.047(3)
C12	-0.0296 (5)	0.2003 (9)	0.3841(7)	0.055(3)
C12	-0.0230 (5)	0.2048(9) 0.1035(11)	0.3641(7)	0.037(3)
C14	-0.0749(0)	0.1933 (11)	0.4361 (7)	0.110(3)
C15 C16	-0.1172(3)	0.0377(6)	0.2379(0)	0.090(4)
C10 C17	-0.1337(4)	-0.0204 (10)	0.2321(7)	0.144(7)
C17	-0.1337(0) -0.0771(6)	-0.1079(8)	0.3023(8)	0.117(0)
	-0.0771(0)	-0.1033(7)	0.3567(7)	0.118(3)
C14	-0.0400(4)	-0.0212(9)	0.3045(5)	0.094(4)
C70	0.1056 (5)	0.0003(7)	0.3141 (0)	0.003(4)
C20	0.1030 (5)	0.4247(9)	0.4637 (8)	0.007(3)
C21	0.0077(0)	0.4177(10)	0.4037 (8)	0.075(4)
N7'	0.0227(0)	0.1005 (6)	0.2152 (7)	0.058 (3)
NIO'	0.1025(4)	0.1605(0)	0.2132 (7)	0.053(3)
NI3	-0.0393 (4)	0.1023 (7)	0.0007 (0)	0.053(2)
C2'	0.1378(4)	-0.0293(7)	0.3219 (7)	0.033(2)
C3'	0.1520(4)	-0.0295(7)	(14032(8))	0.081(4)
CA'	0.7037(3)	0.0014 (3)	0.4585 (5)	0.094 (5)
C5'	0.2017(4)	0.0027(0)	0.4324 (5)	0.007(3)
C6'	0.1737(4)	0.0774(7)	0.3511 (6)	0.075(4)
CI'	(1371(3))	0.0672 (8)	0.2958 (5)	0.060(3)
C8'	0.1103(5)	0.0614 (8)	0.1371(8)	0.054(3)
C23'	0.1588 (5)	-0.0116(10)	0.1158 (7)	0.095 (5)
C9'	0.0662 (5)	() () () () () () () () () () () () () (	0.0574 (8)	0.058 (3)
C11′	-0.0161(5)	0.2055 (8)	0.0166 (8)	0.052(3)
C12'	-0.0535(5)	0.2796 (9)	0.0567 (8)	0.057(3)
C24'	-0.1006(5)	0.3377 (9)	-0.0037(7)	0.075 (4)
C15'	-0.1301(4)	0.3513 (6)	0.2263 (6)	0.088 (4)
C16′	-0.1586(3)	0.4211 (8)	0.2788 (6)	0.098 (5)
C17′	-0.1275 (5)	0.5083 (7)	0.2985 (5)	0.103 (5)
C18′	-0.0679 (5)	0.5258 (6)	0.2657 (6)	0.092 (5)
C19′	-0.0393 (3)	0.4560 (7)	0.2132 (5)	0.071 (4)
C14′	-0.0704 (4)	0.3687 (6)	0.1935 (4)	0.052 (3)
C20′	0.0681 (6)	0.0703 (10)	-0.0349 (9)	0.079 (4)
C21′	0.0251 (7)	0.1127 (11)	-0.1000 (8)	0.085 (4)
C22′	-0.0176 (6)	0.1811 (10)	-0.0751 (8)	0.077 (4)
Cll	0.7403 (2)	0.6427 (3)	0.4458 (3)	0.1060 (13)
011	0.7570 (5)	0.5451 (7)	0.4412 (7)	0.131 (4)
012	0.6713 (5)	0.6532 (9)	0.4338 (7)	0.154 (4)
013	().7576 (5)	0.6783 (9)	0.5403 (9)	0.167 (5)
014	0.7580 (9)	0.6934 (12)	0.3683 (14)	0.150†
014'	0.798 (3)	0.699 (3)	0.424 (3)	0.150†
Cl2	0.1014 (2)	0.6847 (3)	0.2900 (3)	0.0994 (13)
O21	0.0821 (5)	0.6057 (8)	0.2294 (6)	0.126 (4)
O22	0.151(3)	0.656(2)	0.363 (2)	0.150†

O22′	0.114 (2)	0.6534 (18)	0.3793 (18)	0.150†
O23	0.0483 (6)	0.7425 (11)	0.3076 (8)	0.192 (6)
O24	0.1415 (6)	0.7435 (9)	0.2435 (8)	0.172 (5)

 $\dagger$  Fixed  $U_{iso}$ .

Table 2	Soloctod	apomptric	narameters	$(\dot{A}^{\circ})$	)
Table Z.	Selected	geometric	parameters	( <b>л</b> , )	/

2.299 (9)	Cu—N7'	2.100 (9)
1.975 (9)	Cu—N10′	1.934 (8)
2.320 (9)	Cu—N13′	2.103 (8)
1.394 (9)	N7'—C1'	1.410 (10)
1.278 (12)	N7'—C8'	1.277 (12)
1.365 (12)	N10′ -C9′	1.318 (12)
1.343 (11)	N10'—C11'	1.355 (12)
1.274 (12)	N13'—C12'	1.305 (11)
1.408 (11)	N13'-C14'	1.391 (9)
77.4 (4)	N10-Cu-N13'	97.3 (3)
149.4 (3)	N13—Cu—N10'	118.5 (4)
86.5 (3)	N13—Cu—N7'	92.4 (3)
91.4 (3)	N13—Cu—N13'	95.8 (3)
97.0 (3)	N7'—Cu—N10'	79.8 (4)
73.4 (4)	N7'—Cu—N13'	157.0 (4)
105.5 (3)	N10'-Cu-N13'	77.4 (4)
167.1 (4)		
	$\begin{array}{c} 2.299 \ (9) \\ 1.975 \ (9) \\ 2.320 \ (9) \\ 1.394 \ (9) \\ 1.278 \ (12) \\ 1.365 \ (12) \\ 1.343 \ (11) \\ 1.274 \ (12) \\ 1.408 \ (11) \\ 7.74 \ (4) \\ 149.4 \ (3) \\ 86.5 \ (3) \\ 91.4 \ (3) \\ 97.0 \ (3) \\ 73.4 \ (4) \\ 105.5 \ (3) \\ 167.1 \ (4) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$

The high value for the conventional R factor is attributed to poor crystal quality, as indicated by preliminary oscillation and Weissenberg photography. It was particularly noticeable that relatively few reflections appeared at higher angles. Several axial and zero-layer reflections were checked intermittently as a means of detecting possible crystal movement. They gave no sign of crystal decay. Disorder in the perchlorate counteranions was originally modelled by allowing all O atoms to refine with anisotropic displacement parameters, but the extreme thermal motion observed for atoms O14 and O22 required each of these atoms to be modelled by two components and O14' and O22' were introduced into the model to accomplish this. All four disorder components were refined with a fixed  $U_{iso}$  of 0.15 Å<sup>2</sup>.

Data collection: local programs. Cell refinement: local programs. Data reduction: local programs. Program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELX*76 (Sheldrick, 1976). Program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL*93 (Sheldrick, 1993). Molecular graphics: *SHELXTL/PC* (Sheldrick, 1992). Software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL*93 (Sheldrick, 1993).

The authors thank the SERC for support.

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters, Hatom coordinates and complete geometry have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: MU1197). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

#### References

- Blake, A. J., Lavery, A. J., Hyde, T. I. & Schröder, M. (1989). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 965-970.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1976). SHELX76. Program for Crystal Structure Determination. University of Cambridge, England.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1992). SHELXTL/PC. Version 4.3. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1993). SHELXL93. Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures. University of Göttingen, Germany.

Acta Cryst. (1996). C52, 39-41

## *cis*-Diisothiocyanatobis(1,10-phenanthroline)copper(II), [Cu(NCS)<sub>2</sub>(C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>8</sub>N<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]

O. JERRY PARKER, SHERYL L. AUBOL AND GARY L. BRENEMAN

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry (MS-74), Eastern Washington University, Cheney, WA 99004, USA

(Received 26 June 1995; accepted 19 July 1995)

### Abstract

The crystal and molecular structure of green cis- $[Cu(NCS)_2(phen)_2]$  (phen = 1,10-phenanthroline) containing a distorted octahedral [Cu<sup>II</sup>N<sub>6</sub>] core is reported. The structure of [Cu(NCS)<sub>2</sub>(phen)<sub>2</sub>] consists of discrete molecules in which the six-coordinate Cu atom sits on a twofold rotation axis with the thiocvanates coordinating through the N atom. The Cu-N<sub>NCS</sub> distances are 2.020(7) Å, while the Cu-N<sub>phen</sub> distances are 2.132 (5) Å trans to NCS and 2.217 (5) Å trans to N<sub>phen</sub>. The geometry is distorted from octahedral stereochemistry because of the 76.9(2)° N-Cu-N bite angle of each 1,10-phenanthroline and because of a slight elongation of the trans positions that are occupied by an N atom from each phenanthroline ligand. The thiocvanate ions are linear  $[N-C-S = 178.9(6)^{\circ}]$  and are at an angle of  $161.0(6)^{\circ}$  to the Cu atom.

## Comment

The title compound, (I), is an analog of  $[Fe(NCS)_2(phen)_2]$  and  $[Mn(NCS)_2(phen)_2]$ . The iron complex is the archetype of a series of complexes that exhibit a spin-state crossover between the high-spin ( ${}^5T_2$ ) and low-spin ( ${}^1A_1$ ) states (Konig & Madega, 1967; Konig, Madeja & Watson, 1968; Ganguli, Gütlich & Müller, 1981). The manganese complex has a distorted octahedral [Mn<sup>II</sup>N<sub>6</sub>] core (Holleman, Parker & Breneman, 1994). Here, we report the crystal and molecular structure of the copper complex *cis*-[Cu(NCS)<sub>2</sub>(phen)<sub>2</sub>], (I).



The structure of  $[Cu(NCSe)_2(phen)_2]$  shows a similar *trans* distortion and similar bond distances (Sedov, Dunaj-Jurco, Kabesova, Gazo & Garat, 1982). In this